

SHEP-90/91-35
August

Non-Perturbative Two-Dimensional Quantum Gravity, Again¹

Simon Dalley², Clifford Johnson and Tim Morris

*Department of Physics, University of Southampton,
Southampton SO9 5NH, U.K.*

¹Talk given by SD at workshop on Random Surfaces and 2D Quantum Gravity, Barcelona 10-14 June 1991, proceedings to appear in Nucl. Phys. **B** Proc. Suppl.

²Address after 15th September 1991: Joseph Henry Laboratories, Princeton University, Princeton NJ 08544, U.S.A.

This is an updated review of recent work done by the authors on a proposal for non-perturbatively stable 2D quantum gravity coupled to $c < 1$ matter, based on the flows of the (generalised) KdV hierarchy [1, 2].

Since the discovery of the continuum limit of 2D quantum gravity coupled to minimal matter [3, 4] to all orders in the genus expansion, the question of a non-perturbative definition of these theories has remained. In refs.[1, 2] we have presented a ‘physical’ non-perturbative definition by elevating the fundamental (generalised) KdV flow symmetry, known to exist perturbatively, to a non-perturbative principle. Concentrating on KdV for simplicity, these flows are [5];

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_k} = R'_{k+1}[u] = \xi_{k+1}u \quad (1)$$

where

$$\sum_k (k + 1/2) t_k R_k - z = \mathcal{R} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Here the string susceptibility $u = \Gamma''$, prime is d/dz where $z = \mu/\nu$, while μ and ν are the renormalised ‘cosmological constant’ and $1/N$. The parameters t_k/ν couple to the matrix-model integrated local operators $\mathcal{O}_k$ on the surfaces and R_k are the Gelfand-Dikii differentials [6]. The flows determine correlators of the local operators:

$$-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \langle \mathcal{O}_{k_1} \dots \mathcal{O}_{k_n} \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k_1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k_n}} u \quad (3)$$

The string equation (2) is the usual condition imposed by the hermitian matrix model (HMM) [3], leading to non-perturbative instability in particular for pure gravity and probably all unitary $c < 1$ matter. Let us relax this condition and ask for the most general string equation compatible with the flows (1). To derive it note that dimensionally if $[u] = 1$ then $[z] = -1/2$ and $[t_k] = -(k + 1/2)$ as follows from (1). Then u as a function of its dimensionful arguments must have the scaling symmetry³;

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k + 1/2) t_k \frac{\partial u}{\partial t_k} + \frac{1}{2} z u' + u = 0 \quad (4)$$

Using (1) and the recurrence relation [6];

$$R'_{k+1} = \frac{1}{4} R_k''' - u R_k' - \frac{1}{2} u' R_k \quad (5)$$

this becomes:

$$\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}''' - u \mathcal{R}' - \frac{1}{2} u' \mathcal{R} = 0 \quad (6)$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{R}$ and integrating gives the string equation [1]:

$$u \mathcal{R}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{R}'' + \frac{1}{4} (\mathcal{R}')^2 = 0 \quad (7)$$

³We assume initially that no new dimensionful parameter arises at the non-perturbative level.

The constant of integration (which is an order ν^2 term) has been set to zero by hand in order that the HMM equation $\mathcal{R} = 0$ satisfies (7) asymptotically. This is necessary to reproduce the perturbative (genus) expansion at $z \rightarrow +\infty$. Note that the asymptotic expansion of the solution to (7) is uniquely determined once we have fixed the spherical approximation.

As an illustrative case we can consider pure gravity $t_m = t_m \delta_{m2}$. In the spherical approximation, where we can neglect derivatives, (7) becomes:

$$u(u^2 - z)^2 = 0 \quad (8)$$

As $z \rightarrow +\infty$ we take $u = \sqrt{z}$ and the corrections will yield standard genus expansion, by construction. If we require u to have a real asymptotic expansion at $z \rightarrow -\infty$ then we must take the root $u = 0$. The susceptibility then begins at the ‘torus’ level and in fact has non-vanishing contributions only every 2nd genus. More generally it is every m^{th} genus⁴ [1]:

$$u = -\frac{1}{z^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \left(\frac{1}{z^{2m+1}} \right)^n \quad ; \quad C_0 = 1/4 \quad ; \quad z \rightarrow -\infty \quad (9)$$

It is highly likely that all other non-perturbative solutions to (7) reproducing the standard genus expansion at $+\infty$ are complex or have poles on the real axis and are thus physically unacceptable[7]. The $z \rightarrow \pm\infty$ behaviour is now enough to fix completely the boundary conditions for (7) [2] and the (unique) numerical solution we found for pure gravity is shown in figure 1. On the question of uniqueness we note also that at $t_m = t_m \delta_{m1}$, (7) is reducible to Painlevé II in χ by the transformation $u = 2\chi^2 + z$. With our physical boundary conditions it has already been shown analytically that there is a unique, real, pole-free solution [10].

Thus, starting from the KdV flows and requiring physically acceptable behaviour in choosing boundary conditions, we are led to the solution for pure gravity shown in figure 1. Considering u as the potential for a hamiltonian operator H , whose eigenvalues govern the non-perturbative positions of charges in a Dyson gas, we see that the spectrum is continuous and bounded below. In fact our analysis is equivalent to taking the continuum limit of an appropriate (critical) Dyson gas on the positive real line, as we show in a moment. We can compare our stabilisation with results provided by the SUSY D=1 / stochastic quantisation of the HMM investigated in refs.[8]. The non-perturbative difference between the two at positive z is obvious from the manifestly different behaviour of the solutions at $z \rightarrow -\infty$. For the model investigated in [8], since u is real and $\propto \sqrt{-z}$ at $z \rightarrow -\infty$ [11, 12], the susceptibility cannot satisfy (7) and so violates the KdV flows non-perturbatively. Also there still appear to be non-perturbative ambiguities in the approach of [8] in its most general form, while there are none for our approach.

Our analysis so far has dealt directly with the continuum limit and it is natural to ask whether there is an appropriate formulation in terms of a Dyson gas. The universality class of the critical behaviour in such a gas can be conveniently characterised by the structure

⁴The same asymptotic behaviour has also recently been found in the unitary matrix model with ‘external fields’ [9]

of the eigenvalue density in the scaled neighbourhood of the end of an arc of eigenvalues, in the spherical approximation[13]. Figure 2(a) shows this region as appropriate for pure gravity ($m = 2$) at $z > 0$. Eigenvalues are concentrated on the cut (wavy line) ended by a square root branch point, and formally extending the expression for the density off this cut, its first integral has the interpretation of effective potential for one eigenvalue, V_{eff} [12]. For the m th critical point there are $m - 1$ extra zeros of the density in the scaled neighbourhood of the branch point, shown as dots in the figure. The behaviour as a function of z is easily calculated by using the WKB approximation for the spectrum of the co-ordinate operator H , with the appropriate ‘potential’ u from (8). This also facilitates a comparison of the extremal values of V_{eff} with the leading exponential corrections to the asymptotic solutions to (7) [2]. As $z \rightarrow 0$ the branch point and the extra zero in figure 2(a) collide and at first it is difficult to see how a real, sensible answer is maintained for $z < 0$. A solution is shown in figure 2(b) whereby the density now diverges, rather than vanishes, like a square root at the branch point, thus generating an extra zero. This scenario is thoroughly natural if there is a ‘wall’ in the problem, which the branch point hits at $z = 0$. In this case the *two* zeros depart into the complex plane perpendicular to the real axis as z becomes more negative. The structure shown in figure 2(a) to the left of this wall is then in the sense of analytic continuation ($V_{\text{eff}} = \infty$ strictly). Simply speaking the wall will prevent eigenvalues leaking out of the arc and this is in fact the origin of non-perturbative stability in our formulation, as we now show.

We can derive the full continuum limit à la Douglas [4] which also indicates the inclusion of the generalised KdV hierarchy. Insertions of the eigenvalue operators $-\lambda$, $-d/d\lambda$ have, for the m th critical point of the one-matrix model, the continuum limits:

$$-H = Q = d^2 - u \quad ; \quad P = \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \alpha_i d^i \quad ; \quad d = d/dz \quad (10)$$

The eigenvalue space of the HMM is $\mathbb{R}$ and the canonical momentum operator is P (translations). The c.c.r. $[P, Q] = 1$ determines the α_i : $i < 2m - 1$ uniquely (α_{2m-1} is an undetermined non-universal constant), the order d^0 of this relation being $\mathcal{R}' = 0$. Our stabilisation scheme is equivalent to the restriction to $\mathbb{R}_+$ i.e. the imposition of a ‘wall’ in the scaling region. To show this we note that the canonical momentum on $\mathbb{R}_+$ is $\tilde{P}$, the generator of scale transformations, satisfying the new c.c.r. $[\tilde{P}, Q] = Q$. If Q is conjugate to macroscopic loop length then these local scale transformations are related to physical scale transformations of the string/one-dimensional universe. We can find $\tilde{P}$ by introducing the fractional-power pseudo-differential operator and its differential part:

$$Q^{m+1/2} = d^{2m+1} + (m + 1/2)\{u, d^{2m-1}\} + \cdots + \{R_{m+1}[u], d^{-1}\} \cdots \quad (11)$$

$$[Q_+^{m+1/2}, Q] = R'_{m+1} \quad (12)$$

We expect $\tilde{P} = \sum_{i=0}^{2m+1} \alpha_i d^i$ but $Q_+^{m+1/2}$ does not quite do the job. To obtain a term d^2 on the r.h.s. of the c.c.r. we must use most generally:

$$\tilde{P} = \alpha_{2m+1} Q_+^{m+1/2} - \frac{z}{2} d \quad (13)$$

giving the (differential of the) string equation;

$$[\tilde{P}, Q] = \alpha_{2m+1} R'_{m+1} + \frac{zu'}{2} + d^2 = d^2 - u. \quad (14)$$

This is (6) at the m th critical point, modulo α_{2m+1} which may be absorbed into the string coupling. By explicitly taking the continuum limit of the Dyson gas on $\mathbb{R}_+$, realised for example by the complex matrix model [1], one finds that the constant arising from an integration of (14) is zero as in (7). Moreover the physical boundary conditions we chose earlier are precisely the ones appropriate to this gas. In the spherical approximation u is the scaling part of the end of the eigenvalue density. For $z > 0$ the ‘wall’ has no affect on the WKB expansion, in particular the leading term is $\sqrt{z}$ as in the HMM. At $z < 0$ the end of the density remains fixed at the wall so $u = 0$ in the spherical approximation.

It is natural to suppose that these considerations have analogues for the generalised KdV hierarchy, related to the full set of (p, q) minimal models [4, 14]. One would generalise to:

$$Q = d^q + \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} u_i d^i \quad P = Q_+^{p/q} \quad (15)$$

$$\tilde{P} = Q_+^{1+p/q} - \frac{z}{q} d \quad (16)$$

Some details of this will be explored in [15].

Let us finally consider the Dyson-Schwinger equations⁵ of the one-matrix models, at least that part of them which may be represented as formal Virasoro constraints [16]. Our differentiated string equation (7) follows from the constraint $L_0\tau = 0$ (where $u = -2d^2 \log \tau$ and $z \rightarrow z + t_0$) on using the KdV flow (1). This is not suprising in that it is precisely the expression of scale invariance. The higher Virasoro constraints:

$$L_n\tau = 0 \quad : \quad n \geq 1 \quad (17)$$

where

$$L_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) t_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+n}} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_{k-1} \partial t_{n-k}} \quad (18)$$

follow by applying the recursion operator which generates higher symmetries of the KdV hierarchy [17]⁶. The HMM string equation derives from $L_{-1}\tau = 0$ and one might ask what happens to this constraint in our formulation. Since we are working on $\mathbb{R}_+$ there is no translation invariance and the L_{-1} constraint is naively absent. More precisely the relevant DS equation picks up a boundary term from the ‘wall’:

$$L_{-1}\tau = \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \sigma} \quad (19)$$

where σ is the scaled position of the ‘wall’, which up to now we have taken as the origin. In fact there is no reason why we should choose $\sigma = 0$ and more correctly we might

⁵Some of what follows was elaborated while these notes were being prepared.

⁶H.Kawai informed me that the DS constraints $\{L_n\tau = 0 : n \geq 0\}$ should in turn imply that τ is a τ -function of the KdV hierarchy subject to $L_0\tau = 0$ (see his contribution to these proceedings).

consider it as an extra (non-perturbative) parameter $u = u(z, t_k, \sigma)$. The correct canonical momentum gets shifted now to $\tilde{P}_\sigma = \tilde{P} + \sigma P$ and thus

$$[\tilde{P}_\sigma, Q + \sigma] = Q + \sigma \quad (20)$$

implying

$$\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{R}''' - u\mathcal{R}' - \frac{1}{2}u'\mathcal{R} + \sigma\mathcal{R}' = 0 \quad (21)$$

which may now be integrated to give the string equation. But σ , which has the same dimension as u , now contributes to the scaling equation (4) a term $\sigma\partial u/\partial\sigma$. Comparing with (21) we identify

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial\sigma} = \mathcal{R}' \quad (22)$$

which is equivalent to (19). The other Virasoro constraints also pick up boundary terms at general σ

$$L_n\tau = \sigma^{n+1}\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial\sigma} \quad (23)$$

as follows simply from varying the boundary of the eigenvalue integration as $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma + \epsilon\sigma^{n+1}$. The parameter σ can be set to zero by an analytic redefinition of the t_k together with $u - \sigma \rightarrow u$. In this sense it is redundant and in fact if we assume, following the HMM, that the non-perturbative loop expectation is $\Psi(l) = \langle e^{-lH} \rangle$, σ corresponds to a boundary cosmological constant [18]. The loop wavefunction in the scaling limit is

$$\Psi(l) \propto \int_\sigma^\infty \rho(\psi)e^{-l\psi}d\psi \quad (24)$$

where

$$\rho(\psi) \propto \int_\mu^\infty \langle x \mid \delta(\psi - H) \mid x \rangle dx \quad (25)$$

Hence for $\sigma > 0$ the wavefunction is guaranteed to have sensible exponentially decreasing large l behaviour.

Acknowledgements: S.D. would like to thank the organisers for the opportunity to present this work and workshop participants for their interest. Financial support from the S.E.R.C. is acknowledged by S.D. and C.J.

References

- [1] S.Dalley, C.Johnson and T.Morris, Southampton Univ. preprint SHEP 90/91-16.
- [2] S.Dalley, C.Johnson and T.Morris, Southampton Univ. preprint SHEP 90/91-28.
- [3] D.J.Gross and A.A.Migdal, Phys. Rev. Lett.**64** (1990) 127; M.R.Douglas and S.H.Shenker, Nucl. Phys. **B335** (1990) 135; E.Br zin and V.A.Kazakov, Phys. Lett. **B236** (1990) 144.
- [4] M.R.Douglas, Phys. Lett. **B238** (1990) 176.
- [5] D.J.Gross and A.A.Migdal, Nucl. Phys. **B340** (1990) 333; T.Banks, N.Seiberg, M.R.Douglas and S.H.Shenker, Phys. Lett. **B238** (1990) 279.
- [6] I.R.Gelfand and L.A.Dikii, Russian Math. Surveys **30** (1975) 77.
- [7] F.David, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A5** (1990) 1019.
- [8] E.Marinari and G.Parisi, Phys. Lett. **B240** (1990) 375; J.Ambj rn, J.Greensite and S.Varsted, Phys. Lett. **B249** (1990) 411; E.Marinari and G.Parisi, Phys. Lett. **B247** (1990) 537; M.Karliner and A.A.Migdal, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A5** (1990) 2565; J.Ambj rn and J.Greensite, Phys. Lett. **B254** (1991) 66.
- [9] D.J.Gross and M.J.Newman, Princeton preprint PUPT-1257.
- [10] S.P.Hastings and J.B.Macleod, Arch. Rat. Mech. and Anal. **73** (1980) 31.
- [11] J.Ambj rn, C.Johnson and T.Morris, preprint SHEP 90/91-29 / NBI-HE-91-27.
- [12] F.David, In Proceedings of workshop on Random Surfaces, Quantum Gravity and Strings, Carg se, May 28-June 1 1990.
- [13] S.Dalley, Phys. Lett. **B253** (1991) 292; P.Ginsparg and J.Zinn-Justin, Phys. Lett. **B255** (1991) 189; H.Neuberger, Nucl. Phys. **B352** (1991) 689; F.David, Nucl. Phys. **B348** (1991) 507.
- [14] P. di Francesco and D.Kutasov, Nucl. Phys. **B342** (1990) 589.
- [15] C.Johnson, T.Morris and B.Spence, in preparation.
- [16] M.Fukuma, H.Kawai and R.Nakayama, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A6** (1991) 1385; R.Dijkgraaf, E.Verlinde and H.Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. **B348** (1991) 435.
- [17] P.J.Olver, *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [18] E.Martinec, G.Moore and N.Seiberg, preprint RU-14-91 / YCTP-P10-91 / EFI-91-14.